



NEIGHBORHOOD NOISE

CITIZENS AGAINST AIRPORT POLLUTION, P.O. BOX 26142, SAN JOSE, CA 95159 (408) 297-9753 JANUARY 1998

Lawsuit Brief Filed

On December 5, 1997, CAAP filed its opening brief in the lawsuit against the City of San Jose. The principle objective of the lawsuit is to force the city to adopt a moderate growth plan for future development of San Jose International Airport.

The opening brief is a substantial legal document which outlines CAAP's factual and legal arguments that the city has violated State Law in approving the Airport Master Plan.

CAAP's opening brief is thirty-two (32) pages of carefully organized legal arguments with references to relevant professional treatises, statutory, and case law. The court has ordered the City of San Jose to file its opposition brief by January 21, 1998.

While the legal issues are somewhat complex, the basic underlying issue is this: does the City of San Jose have the power to approve the maximum development of San Jose International Airport despite the fact that

such a project cannot be supported by the existing infrastructure?

Put another way, can the City of San Jose approve future Airport development that will seriously worsen noise pollution, air quality, and traffic congestion for those who live in the older residential neighborhoods of San Jose and Santa Clara when other less polluting alternatives are available?

CAAP has raised a serious legal challenge to the City's Airport Master Plan. While no one can predict the ultimate outcome of this litigation, the City of San Jose is on notice that CAAP's lawsuit is serious business.

CAAP FAQ

(Frequently Asked Questions)

- *Who initiated whatever litigation is going on against the airport?*

CAAP, on behalf of neighborhoods united to stop uncontrolled growth.

- *Why isn't the lawyer taking the lawsuit on a contingency basis?*

The objective of our lawsuit is not money damages. As such, there will be no money judgement from which CAAP lawyers can be paid a percentage.

- *Is CAAP going to remove the curfew challenge from the lawsuit?*

There is no curfew challenge in CAAP's lawsuit. In the event our suit is successful, no such challenge is necessary. If our lawsuit is not successful, CAAP may raise this issue in federal court.

- *How can we get updates on the progress of the lawsuit?*

You can find current news as well as copies of previous newsletters at the CAAP web site (www.caap.org). Also, newsletters are sent approximately every 8 weeks to our membership list.

- *Does CAAP have a paid staff?*

No, CAAP depends solely upon the generosity of volunteers.

- *Who is funding CAAP?*

You are! Please show your support by sending your monthly pledge.

- *Why can't CAAP keep its web page updated?*

CAAP's www page and newsletter are volunteer efforts and we are grateful for the help we get.

- *Will CAAP send a speaker to my neighborhood group?*

Absolutely! Call the CAAP voice line and leave your name, telephone number, and suggested date. We will return your call.

- *What can I do to stop or reduce the increase in airport noise?*

Join and Support CAAP.

- *Can the airport change flight paths without neighborhood approval?*

Appeal for Funds

Dear CAAP Supporter:

Over the years, CAAP volunteers have worked hard to raise the level of dialogue concerning future Airport development. CAAP is committed to protecting the quality of life in our downtown neighborhoods from more noise, air pollution, and surface traffic congestion created by San Jose International. In fact, the only remaining roadblock between the neighborhoods and full Airport expansion is CAAP.

The City of San Jose admits that full Airport expansion will bring significantly more noise more air pollution and longer commutes for people in the San Jose area. We can prevent this environmental disaster with effective legal action.

Thanks to people like you, CAAP was able to retain the services of a law firm specializing in this type of litigation. They have an excellent track record and remain confident of our prospects for success. However, to continue our effort, we must return to those of you who have been so generous in the past. Our goal is to raise an additional twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) in the next 60 days. Please send as much as you can afford. Post-date checks can provide an important contribution at a later date.

The struggle to protect our neighborhoods from noise, air pollution, and traffic congestion is critical. The success of our struggle is in your hands. Help save our neighborhoods.

Yes. Flight paths are controlled by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and can change at any time.

- *I'm frustrated and tired of calling the airport noise line. Who can I contact about increased airport noise?*

Susan Hammer, Mayor of San Jose, (408) 277-4237

Judy Nadler, Mayor of Santa Clara, (408) 984-3250

Your city council representative

Honorable Zoe Lofgren about FAA and flight paths, (408) 271-8700

John Pfeiffer, Regional FAA director, (415) 876-2805

Joe Abramski, FAA aviation safety, (408) 291-7681

Ralph Tonseth, Airport Director, (408) 277-5366

Gary Stowell, Chair of Airport Noise Advisory Committee (ANAC), (408) 277-4111

Lawsuit Specifics

For those readers who are interested in the specific legal issues raised by CAAP's lawsuit, here is a brief description of the issues:

- 1 CAAP has requested a court order directing the City of San Jose to enforce the existing curfew and other aspects of the Airport Noise Control Program.
- 2 The court will determine whether the City improperly approved an Airport Master Plan that cannot be supported by the area's infrastructure. If so, the Airport Master Plan is dead.
- 3 The court will determine whether the City violated State Law in failing to adopt a feasible alternative to maximum airport development. If so, the Airport Master Plan is dead.
- 4 The court will decide whether the EIR's discussion of mitigation measures for significant adverse

environmental impacts is legally insufficient. If so, The Master Plan will need to be reopened for further public input.

- 5 The court will decide whether the Airport Master Plan creates an unfair burden to minority neighborhoods in violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 49 CFR 21, and of Government Code §65008.

As with most lawsuits, there are many factual and legal issues that need to be analyzed and resolved. We will keep you posted on our efforts.

Simple Ways to Invest in CAAP's Victory

by Russ McBrien

Be An Angel

We are confident that we will prevail in court. When we do, there is a possibility that the court will award us fees and costs. That means that the airport will have to pay CAAP for all the dollars it has invested in this battle. That will be great; we will be able set up an endowment for future battles.

An expense award would also allow CAAP to repay the community angels who provide key financial support through contingent loans. Over the years, CAAP has never been in debt. We'd like to keep it that way, but the reality is that legal expenses and fundraising don't always occur on the same schedule.

CAAP is looking for financial angels who would be willing to provide zero interest loans to be repaid through potential court awards or settlements. Contact me personally if you would like to learn more about how you could support your community in this way. I can be reached on email at Russell_McBrien@HP.com or daytime phone 1-650-691-5165.

Put Stock In CAAP's Victory

The stock market has been very generous to many of us here in Silicon Valley. If you are like me, you have shares that have appreciated greatly

in the last few years. But when I look at my tax situation, I really don't want to take any more income and pay more taxes. Even with Capital Gains pegged at 20%, that is still 20% tax I'll be paying.

You can do a lot of good for yourself and for our valley by donating appreciated stock to CAAP. Your gift goes farther than if you had sold the stock and donated the net cash. Everyone benefits in that your gift will help us see our way to the end of this battle quickly. For more information just contact me at email at Russell_McBrien@HP.com or daytime phone 1-650-691-5165.

Wake Up the Neighbors!

If you are already a donor, you can do two things:

- First, make sure everyone on your block is signed up for the CAAP mailing list. Getting informed about the issues is the best way to turn bystanders into participants. The more we grow our list and expand our donor base, the less work there will be for any one of us.
- Secondly, if you think a friend or neighbor might be interested in becoming a donor to CAAP, talk to them about the issue and ask for a donation. We all need a reminder now and then to get the most out of our best intentions.

If you are uncomfortable in asking, leave a message for Russ on the CAAP voice line and I'll be happy to speak with anyone who might be interested in donating. Do it today. Your help makes a difference.

Other Aviation-Related Lawsuits Pending

By Dr. Kenneth Hayes, M.D.

1/8/1998 NEWS RELEASE: "Environmental Groups to File Lawsuits Against BWI and O'Hare Airports for Toxic Discharges"

Citing over 150 separate violations of federal clean water and right-to-know laws, a coalition of local and national environmental groups yesterday filed

a notice of intent to sue Baltimore-Washington International Airport (BWI) for discharges of toxic chemicals into Sawmill Creek. Similarly, citing over 100 violations of public right-to-know laws, environmental groups filed a notice of intent to sue Chicago O'Hare International Airport.

plane deicing fluids containing ethylene glycol and other toxic chemicals. The groups also cited MAA for violating federal Superfund and community right-to-know laws requiring it to report releases of these hazardous substances to federal, state, and local emergency planning agencies.

are doing to combat violations of federal laws and to right certain wrongs.

Monthly Noise Reports

The monthly analysis for September reports 22 curfew intrusions with only 5 considered in non-compliance.

The Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. (NRDC), the Airport Environmental Coalition (AEC), the Humane Society of the United States (HSUS) and US-Citizens Aviation Watch (US-CAW) charged the Maryland Aviation Administration (MAA), which owns and operates BWI, with violating the federal Clean Water Act through its discharges of stormwater that is highly contaminated with air-

These groups are also charging the City of Chicago, which owns and operates O'Hare, with violations of federal Superfund, community right-to-know and clean water laws requiring public disclosure of their massive releases of ethylene glycol.

In October, 37 curfew intrusions were reported, an increase of 15 over the previous month. Again, only five were considered to be in noncompliance.

This news release illustrates what aroused grass-roots citizens' groups

Both months, Southwest Airlines was the biggest curfew offender and two curfew violations by Mexicana have been referred to the city attorneys office. Violations by United and America West are under investigation.

CAAP's steering committee:

Lenora Porcella	Chairman	Travel Agent	76146.1705@compuserve.com
Russ McBrien	Vice Chair	H-P Executive	
Patricia Koopman	Secretary	Retired Teacher	patk316@aol.com
Sharen Dains	Treasurer	Freelance Court Reporter	
Robert Harmssen	Legal Advisor	Attorney at Law	
Kenneth Hayes	Medical Advisor	Physician	
Sam Miller	Distribution	Account Executive	
Christopher Escher	Public Relations	Public Relations	
Lyle Johnson	Santa Clara Advisor	Attorney at Law	
Lilian Dennis	Rosemary Gardens	Small Business Owner	
Leo Rubio	Guadalupe-Washington	Interpreter	lrubio2000@aol.com

YES! I want to fight Airport Pollution!

Please apply the enclosed donation toward _____ \$1,000 _____ \$500
the **Neighborhood Defense Fund***: _____ \$750 _____ \$250
_____ Other _____ I pledge a monthly donation of \$ _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____ Phone: _____ Email: _____

Employer: _____ Occupation: _____

**CAAP is required by law to disclose the occupation and employer of those who contribute more than \$99.*

_____ I have also included my annual support of \$35.00.

P.O. Box 26142, San Jose, CA 95159 (408) 297-9753

Check out our web site at: www.caap.org



C A A P
CITIZENS AGAINST
AIRPORT POLLUTION

CAAP
P.O. Box 26142
San Jose, CA 95159

ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED



NEIGHBORHOOD NOISE

CITIZENS AGAINST AIRPORT POLLUTION, P.O. BOX 26142, SAN JOSE, CA 95159 (408) 297-9753 JANUARY 1998

Lawsuit Brief Filed

On December 5, 1997, CAAP filed its opening brief in the lawsuit against the City of San Jose. The principle objective of the lawsuit is to force the city to adopt a moderate growth plan for future development of San Jose International Airport.

The opening brief is a substantial legal document which outlines CAAP's factual and legal arguments that the city has violated State Law in approving the Airport Master Plan.

CAAP's opening brief is thirty-two (32) pages of carefully organized legal arguments with references to relevant professional treatises, statutory, and case law. The court has ordered the City of San Jose to file its opposition brief by January 21, 1998.

While the legal issues are somewhat complex, the basic underlying issue is this: does the City of San Jose have the power to approve the maximum development of San Jose International Airport despite the fact that

such a project cannot be supported by the existing infrastructure?

Put another way, can the City of San Jose approve future Airport development that will seriously worsen noise pollution, air quality, and traffic congestion for those who live in the older residential neighborhoods of San Jose and Santa Clara when other less polluting alternatives are available?

CAAP has raised a serious legal challenge to the City's Airport Master Plan. While no one can predict the ultimate outcome of this litigation, the City of San Jose is on notice that CAAP's lawsuit is serious business.

CAAP FAQ

(Frequently Asked Questions)

- *Who initiated whatever litigation is going on against the airport?*

CAAP, on behalf of neighborhoods united to stop uncontrolled growth.

- *Why isn't the lawyer taking the lawsuit on a contingency basis?*

The objective of our lawsuit is not money damages. As such, there will be no money judgement from which CAAP lawyers can be paid a percentage.

- *Is CAAP going to remove the curfew challenge from the lawsuit?*

There is no curfew challenge in CAAP's lawsuit. In the event our suit is successful, no such challenge is necessary. If our lawsuit is not successful, CAAP may raise this issue in federal court.

- *How can we get updates on the progress of the lawsuit?*

You can find current news as well as copies of previous newsletters at the CAAP web site (www.caap.org). Also, newsletters are sent approximately every 8 weeks to our membership list.

- *Does CAAP have a paid staff?*

No, CAAP depends solely upon the generosity of volunteers.

- *Who is funding CAAP?*

You are! Please show your support by sending your monthly pledge.

- *Why can't CAAP keep its web page updated?*

CAAP's www page and newsletter are volunteer efforts and we are grateful for the help we get.

- *Will CAAP send a speaker to my neighborhood group?*

Absolutely! Call the CAAP voice line and leave your name, telephone number, and suggested date. We will return your call.

- *What can I do to stop or reduce the increase in airport noise?*

Join and Support CAAP.

- *Can the airport change flight paths without neighborhood approval?*

Appeal for Funds

Dear CAAP Supporter:

Over the years, CAAP volunteers have worked hard to raise the level of dialogue concerning future Airport development. CAAP is committed to protecting the quality of life in our downtown neighborhoods from more noise, air pollution, and surface traffic congestion created by San Jose International. In fact, the only remaining roadblock between the neighborhoods and full Airport expansion is CAAP.

The City of San Jose admits that full Airport expansion will bring significantly more noise more air pollution and longer commutes for people in the San Jose area. We can prevent this environmental disaster with effective legal action.

Thanks to people like you, CAAP was able to retain the services of a law firm specializing in this type of litigation. They have an excellent track record and remain confident of our prospects for success. However, to continue our effort, we must return to those of you who have been so generous in the past. Our goal is to raise an additional twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) in the next 60 days. Please send as much as you can afford. Post-date checks can provide an important contribution at a later date.

The struggle to protect our neighborhoods from noise, air pollution, and traffic congestion is critical. The success of our struggle is in your hands. Help save our neighborhoods.

Yes. Flight paths are controlled by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and can change at any time.

- *I'm frustrated and tired of calling the airport noise line. Who can I contact about increased airport noise?*

Susan Hammer, Mayor of San Jose, (408) 277-4237

Judy Nadler, Mayor of Santa Clara, (408) 984-3250

Your city council representative

Honorable Zoe Lofgren about FAA and flight paths, (408) 271-8700

John Pfeiffer, Regional FAA director, (415) 876-2805

Joe Abramski, FAA aviation safety, (408) 291-7681

Ralph Tonseth, Airport Director, (408) 277-5366

Gary Stowell, Chair of Airport Noise Advisory Committee (ANAC), (408) 277-4111

Lawsuit Specifics

For those readers who are interested in the specific legal issues raised by CAAP's lawsuit, here is a brief description of the issues:

- 1 CAAP has requested a court order directing the City of San Jose to enforce the existing curfew and other aspects of the Airport Noise Control Program.
- 2 The court will determine whether the City improperly approved an Airport Master Plan that cannot be supported by the area's infrastructure. If so, the Airport Master Plan is dead.
- 3 The court will determine whether the City violated State Law in failing to adopt a feasible alternative to maximum airport development. If so, the Airport Master Plan is dead.
- 4 The court will decide whether the EIR's discussion of mitigation measures for significant adverse

environmental impacts is legally insufficient. If so, The Master Plan will need to be reopened for further public input.

- 5 The court will decide whether the Airport Master Plan creates an unfair burden to minority neighborhoods in violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 49 CFR 21, and of Government Code §65008.

As with most lawsuits, there are many factual and legal issues that need to be analyzed and resolved. We will keep you posted on our efforts.

Simple Ways to Invest in CAAP's Victory

by Russ McBrien

Be An Angel

We are confident that we will prevail in court. When we do, there is a possibility that the court will award us fees and costs. That means that the airport will have to pay CAAP for all the dollars it has invested in this battle. That will be great; we will be able set up an endowment for future battles.

An expense award would also allow CAAP to repay the community angels who provide key financial support through contingent loans. Over the years, CAAP has never been in debt. We'd like to keep it that way, but the reality is that legal expenses and fundraising don't always occur on the same schedule.

CAAP is looking for financial angels who would be willing to provide zero interest loans to be repaid through potential court awards or settlements. Contact me personally if you would like to learn more about how you could support your community in this way. I can be reached on email at Russell_McBrien@HP.com or daytime phone 1-650-691-5165.

Put Stock In CAAP's Victory

The stock market has been very generous to many of us here in Silicon Valley. If you are like me, you have shares that have appreciated greatly

in the last few years. But when I look at my tax situation, I really don't want to take any more income and pay more taxes. Even with Capital Gains pegged at 20%, that is still 20% tax I'll be paying.

You can do a lot of good for yourself and for our valley by donating appreciated stock to CAAP. Your gift goes farther than if you had sold the stock and donated the net cash. Everyone benefits in that your gift will help us see our way to the end of this battle quickly. For more information just contact me at email at Russell_McBrien@HP.com or daytime phone 1-650-691-5165.

Wake Up the Neighbors!

If you are already a donor, you can do two things:

- First, make sure everyone on your block is signed up for the CAAP mailing list. Getting informed about the issues is the best way to turn bystanders into participants. The more we grow our list and expand our donor base, the less work there will be for any one of us.
- Secondly, if you think a friend or neighbor might be interested in becoming a donor to CAAP, talk to them about the issue and ask for a donation. We all need a reminder now and then to get the most out of our best intentions.

If you are uncomfortable in asking, leave a message for Russ on the CAAP voice line and I'll be happy to speak with anyone who might be interested in donating. Do it today. Your help makes a difference.

Other Aviation-Related Lawsuits Pending

By Dr. Kenneth Hayes, M.D.

1/8/1998 NEWS RELEASE: "Environmental Groups to File Lawsuits Against BWI and O'Hare Airports for Toxic Discharges"

Citing over 150 separate violations of federal clean water and right-to-know laws, a coalition of local and national environmental groups yesterday filed

a notice of intent to sue Baltimore-Washington International Airport (BWI) for discharges of toxic chemicals into Sawmill Creek. Similarly, citing over 100 violations of public right-to-know laws, environmental groups filed a notice of intent to sue Chicago O'Hare International Airport.

The Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. (NRDC), the Airport Environmental Coalition (AEC), the Humane Society of the United States (HSUS) and US-Citizens Aviation Watch (US-CAW) charged the Maryland Aviation Administration (MAA), which owns and operates BWI, with violating the federal Clean Water Act through its discharges of stormwater that is highly contaminated with air-

plane deicing fluids containing ethylene glycol and other toxic chemicals. The groups also cited MAA for violating federal Superfund and community right-to-know laws requiring it to report releases of these hazardous substances to federal, state, and local emergency planning agencies.

These groups are also charging the City of Chicago, which owns and operates O'Hare, with violations of federal Superfund, community right-to-know and clean water laws requiring public disclosure of their massive releases of ethylene glycol.

This news release illustrates what aroused grass-roots citizens' groups

are doing to combat violations of federal laws and to right certain wrongs.

Monthly Noise Reports

The monthly analysis for September reports 22 curfew intrusions with only 5 considered in non-compliance.

In October, 37 curfew intrusions were reported, an increase of 15 over the previous month. Again, only five were considered to be in noncompliance.

Both months, Southwest Airlines was the biggest curfew offender and two curfew violations by Mexicana have been referred to the city attorneys office. Violations by United and America West are under investigation.

CAAP's steering committee:

Lenora Porcella	Chairman	Travel Agent	76146.1705@compuserve.com
Russ McBrien	Vice Chair	H-P Executive	
Patricia Koopman	Secretary	Retired Teacher	patk316@aol.com
Sharen Dains	Treasurer	Freelance Court Reporter	
Robert Harmssen	Legal Advisor	Attorney at Law	
Kenneth Hayes	Medical Advisor	Physician	
Sam Miller	Distribution	Account Executive	
Christopher Escher	Public Relations	Public Relations	
Lyle Johnson	Santa Clara Advisor	Attorney at Law	
Lilian Dennis	Rosemary Gardens	Small Business Owner	
Leo Rubio	Guadalupe-Washington	Interpreter	lrubio2000@aol.com

YES! I want to fight Airport Pollution!

Please apply the enclosed donation toward _____ \$1,000 _____ \$500
 the **Neighborhood Defense Fund***: _____ \$750 _____ \$250
 _____ Other _____ I pledge a monthly donation of \$ _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

_____ Phone: _____ Email: _____

Employer: _____ Occupation: _____

**CAAP is required by law to disclose the occupation and employer of those who contribute more than \$99.*

_____ I have also included my annual support of \$35.00.

P.O. Box 26142, San Jose, CA 95159 (408) 297-9753

Check out our web site at: www.caap.org



C A A P
 CITIZENS AGAINST
 AIRPORT POLLUTION

CAAP
P.O. Box 26142
San Jose, CA 95159

ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED